

To: Meer, Daniel[Meer.Daniel@epa.gov]; Allen, HarryL[Allen.HarryL@epa.gov]
Cc: Lyons, John[Lyons.John@epa.gov]
From: Guria, Peter
Sent: Thur 8/20/2015 7:02:09 PM
Subject: RE: Exide - Draft for Review

I agree with those thoughts. My only additional comment would be the OEHHHA should be the lead on the tox/risk messaging. We can provide consultation to them for that.

From: Meer, Daniel
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2015 11:46 AM
To: Guria, Peter; Allen, HarryL
Cc: Lyons, John
Subject: RE: Exide - Draft for Review

DTSC is asking for our participating in their corrective action at Exide.

My thoughts are in red. Let me know yours. This has a short fuse. Thanks, Dan

Daniel A. Meer, Assistant Director

Superfund Division

Emergency Response, Preparedness and Prevention Branch

415.972.3132 (O)

415.971.6792 (C)

From: Lyons, John
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2015 11:37 AM
To: Meer, Daniel
Subject: FW: Exide - Draft for Review
Importance: High

Dan

FYI – I know you are swamped this am but wanted to forward the email below. These were the items that came out of the 10:30 meeting with Enrique, Dusty, Deldi, Jeff and Tom.

John Lyons

Acting Assistant Director

California Site Cleanup Branch

Superfund Division, Region 9

(415) 972-3889

From: Lyons, John

Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2015 11:22 AM

To: Manzanilla, Enrique; Scott, Jeff; Huettelman, Tom; Minor, Dustin; Reyes, Deldi; Manzanilla, Enrique

Subject: Exide - Draft for Review

Importance: High

Draft

All – please let me know your thoughts/edits of the following:

Here is a summary of how EPA Region could increase its support of the DTSC Exide Lead Investigation and Cleanup:

- a) Active leadership/participation in the Advisory Group (we have already started this w/Deldi as the R9 representative)
- b) Technical Support to DTSC to help inform their approach based on EPA expertise and experience in the following areas:

Screening and prioritization of residential yards for comprehensive investigation and cleanup (SFD Emerg. Response Branch). If the objective is to screen for comprehensive clean up, it might make more sense to have a remedial lead because none of these yards will rise to the level of emergency response or removal, based on the levels that I have been told about. California's lead number is well below our removal screening level.

Soil sampling and analysis Plans for residential yards (SFD Tech Support and CA Cleanup Branch)

Would it make more sense to ask the MTSD QA/QC folks for input on a SAPP and QAPP, as opposed to tech support?

Risk Communication (SFD Tech Support). Tech support makes sense for toxicology and risk communication support. But OEHHA is very well placed to provide this as well.

Limited field presence at critical phases of the project (? – OSC, QAMs, R9 Lab). Not sure exactly what this means, but again, an ER or removal context is very different from a long term corrective action or a remedial site strategy.

Look to identify and involve other EPA expertise (independent review of lead speciation studies, other Region's experts in conducting very large lead removal projects etc) The lead TRW might be able to play a role.

John Lyons

Acting Assistant Director

California Site Cleanup Branch

Superfund Division, Region 9

(415) 972-3889